PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:
JEAN C. EDWARDS
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL
P.O. BOX 061080
WACKERDRIVE STATION
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION

(PCT Rule 66)

		Date of Mailing	21 MAR 21	002
Applicant's or agent's file reference		(day/month/year) REPLY DUE	DT WHIT (003
			within 2 months/days fr	rom
International application No.	097923500048		the above date of mailin	ng
1			Priority date (day/mon	uh/year)
	PCT/US02/19562 20 June 2002 (20.06.200		20 June 2001 (20.06.2001)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC				
IPC(7): G02B 6/28 and US Cl.: 385/16				
Applicant				
ARRYX, INC.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. This written opinion is the firm			liminary Examining Aut	thority.
2. This opinion contains indication	ons relating to the followi	ing items:	•	
I Basis of the opinion	on			
II Priority		•		
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial application	ability
				·
V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			strial applicability;	
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observation	VIII Certain observations on the international application			
3. The applicant is hereby invite	d to reply to this opinion			
When? See the time lithis Authority	mit indicated above. The to grant an extension. See	applicant may, befor	e the expiration of that (t ime limit, request
How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3 For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.			cording to Rule 66.3.	
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6				
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.				
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 20 October 2003 (20.10.2003)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Authorized officer				
Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231		KAVEH KIANNI		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone No. (70	3) 305-4770	Re. Par

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

DEGE VE MAR 2 6 2003 WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US02/19562

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii citations and explanations supporting suc		to novelty, inventive step or in	dustrial applicability;
1. STATEMENT			
Novelty (N)	Claims <u>No</u> Claims <u>1-</u>		YES
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims No Claims 1-4		YES NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-4 Claims NO		YES NO
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-428 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over combination of Little et al. (US 6,052,495) and hicks, Jr. (US 4,720,160) in view of Cai et al. (Highly efficient optical power transfer to whispering-gallery modes by using of a symmetrical dual-coupling configuration). Little teaches an apparatus/method of optically switching a signal (shown in fig. 3; abstract) the method comprising: placing a deflective micro sphere capable of resonance for a specific wavelength of light (shown in fig. 5, item resonator 402 and specific wavelengths \(\mathcal{L}\), with a light alterable steady state index of refraction "n" substantially similar to the index of refraction of a first and second waveguide, in close proximity with the unclad or thinly clad regions of the first and second waveguides (see fig. 5, items 404, 402; col. 4, lines 29-40); providing the specific wavelength of light the dielectric micro sphere resonates for, as a signal within the first waveguide (see col. 2, lines 61-67); directing a sufficiently intense beam of light at the micro sphere whereby the index of refraction "n" of the dielectric micro sphere becomes substantially similar to the index of the dielectric micro sphere becomes substantially similar to the index of the dielectric micro sphere become substantially similar); switching the signal from the first waveguide across the dielectric micro sphere to the second waveguide; and terminating the intense beam of light (see fig. 5, items input/output waveguides and the resonator/resonator (col. 5, lines 14-25; wherein switching off terminates the beam light; Little further teaches wherein the laser beam passes through a Mach-acroadity of the sufficiently intense beam of light is a laser beam serve beam passes through a Mach-acroadity of the sufficiently intense beam of light is a laser beam (see col. 2, lines 2-4); wherein the sufficiently intense beam of light is a laser beam col. 2, lines 57-60). Hicks teaches optical resonant caving			

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/US02/19562

I.	Basi	s of the opinion	
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed	
	冈	the description:	
		pages 1-59 , as originally filed	
		pages NONE , filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
	\triangle	the claims:	
		pages 60-139 , as originally filed	
		pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
		pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of .	
		pages None , med with the letter of	
	\boxtimes	the drawings:	
		pages 1-24, as originally filed	
		pages NONE, filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
		the sequence listing part of the description:	
		pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of .	
2.	lang	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the tage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. e elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which it	is:
	Щ	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).	
	Ш	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).	3
3.	With opin	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written ion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:	
		contained in the international application in printed form.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	Ц	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
	\sqcup	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.	:
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listinas been furnished.	ng
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description, pages NONE	
		the claims, Nos. NONE	
_		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE	
5.	<u>.</u>	This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
* this	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."		

WRITTEN	OPINION

International application No. PCT/US02/19562

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)		
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.		
`		
٠.		

WRITTEN OPINION

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box VII) (July 1998)

International application No.

PCT/US02/19562

	PC1/US02/19362	
VII. Certain defects in the international application		
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:		
Claims 426 and second 426 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: There are two different claim 426. Claims need to be renumbered from 1-428 to 1-429.		
	•	
		